LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDING AGREEMENT

Project: Pioneer Road (Phase 2) (Request 2)

This Local Transportation Funding Agreement (the "Agreement") is entered into by and between the County of Weber, Utah (the "County") and Marriott-Slaterville City (the "City"), individually referred to as "Party" and jointly referred to as "Parties."

WHEREAS, Utah Code Annotated § 72-2-117.5, the Local Highway and Transportation Corridor Preservation Fund, provides the opportunity for a council of governments and the local legislative body to prioritize and approve funding to preserve highway and public transit corridors ("Transportation Funding"), and

WHEREAS, the Weber Area Council of Governments ("WACOG") is the council of governments with the authority to work with the Board of Weber County Commissioners (the "County Commission") to prioritize and approve Transportation Funding for such projects; and

WHEREAS, the City submitted a timely and complete application to WACOG, which is attached as **Exhibit A** of this Agreement, requesting Transportation Funding for the City's Pioneer Road (Phase 2) Project;

WHEREAS, the City has committed "Matching Contributions" to the Project, as evidenced in its funding application; and

WHEREAS, the amount of the City's Matching Contributions meets the Local Matching Funds Requirement established by WACOG Policy; and

WHEREAS, the City's application was approved by WACOG on November 6, 2023 and subsequently approved by the County Commission on November 14, 2023; and

WHEREAS, the County Commission, in consideration of the recommendations of WACOG, has awarded the City up to \$2,378,000 in Corridor Preservation Funding (the "Award") programmed for the calendar year 2025, subject to the County and the City entering into this Agreement with respect to the use of said funds.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein, the County and the City agree as follows:

1. Scope of Project; Eligible Use of the Award

- A. The County shall pay the City the Award to cover expenses that are necessary for the completion of the activities specifically described in **Exhibit A** (the "Project"). If there is a conflict between the terms and provisions of **Exhibit A** and this Agreement, the terms of this Agreement shall govern.
- B. The City shall only use the Award to cover necessary expenses that fall within the scope of the Project. The City shall use the Award in compliance with all program policies that have been adopted by WACOG. Should any provision of the program policies conflict with state or federal law, the conflicting provisions of state or federal

- law shall govern.
- C. The City must expend its Matching Contributions toward the Project before receiving the Award. For purposes of this Agreement, the amount of the Matching Contributions shall be the amount shown in the *Project Cost Estimate* section of **Exhibit A**. The reimbursement payments described in Section 4 of this Agreement shall not commence until the County has received and verified evidence of the City's expenditure of the Matching Contributions.
- D. The City may make revisions to the scope of the Project with written approval from the County Commission where such revision does not materially alter the scope of the Project. The Parties are not required to execute an amendment to this Agreement in making such revisions. Instead, the written approval shall be incorporated into Exhibit A and shall be retained on file with the original Agreement.
- E. For illustration purposes only, a revision to a Project may include a change in the design, implementation, or construction means and methods that results in the ability to make additional improvements to the Project or serve more properties or individuals. Revisions to the scope of the Project that reduce the extent of the improvements to be made or properties or individuals to be served should be avoided unless necessary to keep the Project within the City's budget for the Project and/or the Award to City set forth in this Agreement.
- F. In no event shall a revision to the scope of the Project entitle the City to an additional allocation of Transportation Funding unless the City and the County execute a written amendment to this Agreement to increase the Award. The County Commission, in its sole discretion, and in consideration of a recommendation from WACOG, may approve and authorize additional Transportation Funding for the Project. However, no such additional allocation is guaranteed.
- **G.** The County is not responsible for the construction, maintenance, or completion of the Project.

2. TRANSPORTATION FUNDING SUBJECT TO AVAILABILITY

- A. The City acknowledges that the County cannot guarantee the payment of Transportation Funding that has not yet been appropriated, including such funding that makes up the Award. While the County may not use those funds for purposes or projects that have not gone through the WACOG process, which is outlined in Utah Code Annotated § 72-2-117.5, there is no guarantee that the applicable tax revenue will be sufficient to fund all approved projects.
- **B.** If there is a funding shortfall at the time the County prepares its budget for one of the years programmed for the Award, then notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, the County may, without penalty or liability of any kind, adjust the Award to the proportional amount of available Transportation Funding, as follows:

The County shall calculate the ratio of money promised for this Project to the total promised money for WACOG approved projects for the year, and then the County shall multiply that ratio by the actual funds anticipated to be available for WACOG approved projects at the time the County prepares its budget for the year.

Here is an example using hypothetical numbers:

Assume the County has promised \$1,250,000 for this Project for the year 2025. If the County promised a total of \$25,000,000 for WACOG approved projects for 2025, then the ratio would be 5%. If, at budget preparation time, the available funds were only anticipated to be \$15,000,000, then the County would only be obligated to pay 5% of the \$15,000,000 to this Project, or \$750,000.

C. If the County pays a reduced proportional amount as set forth above, it shall continue to pay proportional amounts of the funds available for WACOG approved projects in subsequent years, and shall not approve new projects to use those funds for those years until the full amount set forth in this Agreement has been paid. The City specifically acknowledges and agrees that in the event of a funding shortfall, the County shall not be obligated to make up the difference using the County's general funds or any other funding source.

3. TERM OF AGREEMENT

This Agreement shall terminate after satisfaction of all obligations accrued or incurred hereunder, or upon completion or cancellation of the Project referenced herein.

4. PAYMENTS

- A. Reimbursement Payment. The County shall pay the Award to the City on a reimbursement basis. The City shall submit reimbursement requests to the County Transportation Fund Manager each calendar quarter for the duration of the Project. Such requests shall be in a form acceptable to the County and include a signed certification by the City engineer that the expenses for which the City is seeking reimbursement fall within the Project scope under Section 1 of this Agreement. The City may not request reimbursements under this Agreement for work that has not been completed.
- **B.** Advance Payment. The County, in its discretion, may elect to pay the City in advance for its allowable costs for the Project identified by this Agreement upon the presentation of all forms and documents as may be required by the County. Advance payments must be limited to the minimum amounts needed and timed to be in accordance with the City's actual, immediate cash requirements in carrying out and completing the work of the Project.
- C. Withholding or Cancellation of Funds. The County reserves the right to withhold payments until the City delivers reimbursement requests or documents as may be

required under this Agreement. Upon completion of the Project, the County may cancel payment of any portion of the Award that the County determines to be surplus. The County shall be relieved of any obligation for payments if funds allocated to the County cease to be available for any cause other than misfeasance of the County itself.

- **D.** Where Payments Are Made. Payments shall be made by check or electronic deposit into City's bank account, according to a mutually agreeable process established by the City and the County.
- **E.** Recoupment. The Award is subject to recoupment by the County for the City's failure to use the funds for the Project in strict accordance with this Agreement and WACOG policies.

5. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The City shall submit such reports and adhere to all conditions and obligations as are required by the County, which include, but are not limited to, the reporting requirements established under WACOG policies. Such reporting requirements shall extend beyond the term of this Agreement. The County reserves the right to inspect, at any time, the City's records that are related to the Project and/or the City's performance of this Agreement. Notwithstanding any record retention policies, the City shall maintain all documentation associated with the Project for the period required by State law or Federal law or seven (7) years, whichever is greater.

6. COMPLIANCE WITH FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL LAWS

In addition to the requirements set forth in this Agreement and WACOG policies, use of the Award may be subject to various other federal, state, and local laws including, but not limited to Utah Code Ann. §§ 72-2-117.5. The City shall comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations with respect to its receipt and use of the Award pursuant to this Agreement.

7. RETURN OF FUNDS; RECOUPMENT

- A. If the City uses any portion of the Award in violation of this Agreement, including any applicable laws and WACOG policies, then the County may recoup such funding from the City. If the County determines that such a violation exists, the County shall provide the City with an initial written notice of the amount subject to recoupment, along with an explanation of such amounts. Within 30 calendar days of receipt of such notice from the County, the City may submit to the County either (1) a request for reconsideration requesting the County seek a reconsideration of any amounts subject to recoupment, or (2) written consent to the notice of recoupment.
- **B.** If the City has not submitted a reconsideration request, or if the County denies the reconsideration request, the City shall repay the amount subject to recoupment within 30 calendar days of the request for consideration deadline or the County's denial of the request.

8. WITHHOLDING REIMBURSEMENT; SUSPENSION OF AGREEMENT

- A. If the City fails to comply with any terms or conditions of this Agreement, or to provide in any manner the activities or other performance as agreed to herein, the County reserves the right to:
 - a. withhold all or any part of payment pending correction of the deficiency; or
 - b. suspend all or part of this Agreement.
- **B.** Further, any failure to perform as required pursuant to this Agreement may subject the City to recoupment as set forth under this Agreement. The option to withhold funds is in addition to, and not in lieu of, the County's right to terminate as provided in Section 9 below. The County may also consider performance under this Agreement when considering future awards.

9. TERMINATION

- A. Termination for Cause. The County may terminate this Agreement for cause if the City fails to comply with the terms and conditions of this Agreement and any of the following conditions exist:
 - a. The lack of compliance with the provisions of this Agreement is of such scope and nature that the County deems continuation of this Agreement to be substantially non-beneficial to the public interest;
 - b. The City has failed to take satisfactory corrective action as directed by the County or its authorized representative within the time specified by the same; or
 - c. The City has failed within the time specified by the County or its authorized representative to satisfactorily substantiate its compliance with the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

The County shall initiate termination for cause by providing notice to the City of its intent to terminate for cause, accompanied by a written justification for the termination. After receiving the notice of termination for cause, the City shall have 15 calendar days to cure the cause for termination. If the City has not cured the cause for termination within 15 days of receipt of the notice, the County may pursue such remedies as are available by law, including, but not limited to, the termination of this Agreement in whole or in part, and thereupon shall notify in writing the City of the termination, the reasons for the termination, and the effective date of the termination. Upon termination, any outstanding Award funds held by the City are subject to recoupment by the County in accordance with this Agreement. Any costs resulting from obligations incurred by the City after termination of this Agreement are not allowable and will not be reimbursed by the County unless specifically authorized in writing by the County.

B. Termination for Convenience. This Agreement may be terminated for convenience, in whole or in part, by written mutual agreement of the Parties.

10. CLOSE OUT AFTER TERMINATION

Upon termination of this Agreement, in whole or in part for any reason, including completion of the Project, the following provisions apply:

- A. Upon written request by the City, the County will make or arrange for payment to the City of allowable reimbursable costs that were not covered by previous reimbursements.
- **B.** Within 30 calendar days after the date of termination, the City shall submit to the County all financial, performance, and other reports required by this Agreement and WACOG policies, and in addition, will cooperate in a Project audit by the County or its designee if the County opts to conduct such an audit;
- C. Closeout of funds will not occur unless all requirements of this Agreement, WACOG policies, and Federal, State, and Local laws are met and all outstanding issues with the City in regards to this Agreement have been resolved to the satisfaction of the County.
- **D.** Any unused Award funds in the City's possession or control shall be immediately returned to the County.

11. INDEMNIFICATION

To the greatest extent permitted by law, the City shall indemnify and hold harmless the County, its appointed and elected officials, and employees from any liability, loss, costs (including attorney fees), damage or expense, incurred because of actions, claims or lawsuits for damages arising from the City's misuse of the Award; personal or bodily injury, including death, sustained or alleged to have been sustained by any person or persons; and in regards to damage to property, arising or alleged to have arisen out of the City's performance of this Agreement, when such injuries to persons or damage to property are due to the actions of the City, its subcontractors, agents, successors, or assigns.

12. NOTICES

Any notices required to be given by the County or the City shall be in writing and delivered to the following representatives for each party:

The County	The City
County of Weber	Marriott-Slaterville City
Attn: Transportation Funding Specialist	Attn: Bill Morris
2380 Washington Blvd., Suite 240	1570 West 400 North
Ogden, UT 84401	Marriott-Slaterville City, UT 84404
bstewart@webercountyutah.gov	bill@mscityut.org

13. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

Failure to insist upon strict enforcement of any terms, covenants, or conditions of this Agreement shall not be deemed a waiver of such, nor shall any waiver or relinquishment of any right or power granted through this Agreement at any time be construed as a total and permanent waiver of such right or power.

14. FURTHER ASSURANCE

Each of the Parties shall cooperate in good faith with the other to execute and deliver such further documents, to adopt any resolutions, to take any other official action and to perform such other acts as may be reasonably necessary or appropriate to consummate and carry into effect the transactions contemplated under this agreement.

The City shall, in good faith and to the greatest extent possible, complete the Project in accordance with the City's proposed project timeline in the City's application. City acknowledges that time is of the essence, and City shall exercise due diligence to complete the project in a timely manner.

15. ASSIGNMENT

The City shall not assign any portion of the Award, nor responsibility for completion of the Project provided for by this Agreement, to any other party.

16. AMENDMENTS

This Agreement cannot be amended or modified except in writing signed by both Parties.

17. VENUE AND CHOICE OF LAW

If either Party initiates any legal or equitable action to enforce the terms of this Agreement, to declare the rights of the parties under this Agreement, or which relates to this Agreement in any manner, the County and the City agree that the proper venue for such action is the Utah Second Judicial District. This Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of Utah, both as to interpretation and performance.

18. SEVERABILITY

If any part of this Agreement is held by the courts to be illegal or in conflict with any law, the validity of the remaining portions or provisions shall not be affected, and the rights and obligations of the parties shall be construed and enforced as if the Agreement did not contain the particular part held to be invalid.

19. INTEGRATED DOCUMENT

This Agreement, together with all exhibits and attachments, which are incorporated by reference, constitute the entire agreement between the Parties. There are no other agreements, written or oral, that have not been fully set forth in the text of this Agreement.

20. NO THIRD PARTY BENEFICIARY.

Nothing in this Agreement shall create or be interpreted to create any rights in or obligations in favor of any person or entity not a party to this agreement. Except for the Parties to this agreement, no person or entity is an intended third party beneficiary under this agreement.

21. HEADINGS

The section headings of this agreement are for the purposes of reference only and shall not limit or define the meaning thereof.

22. AUTHORITY TO SIGN

The persons executing this Agreement on behalf of the City represent that one or both of them has the authority to execute this Agreement and to bind the City to its terms.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF WEBER COUNTY

By	
Gage Froerer, Chair	
Date	_
ATTEST:	
ATTEST.	
Weber County Clerk/Auditor	

MARRIOTT-SLATERVILLE CITY

By Jest Parteelines

Name/Title: Seat Var Leeuwer mayor

Date 1.29.2024

ATTEST:

Dana Spencer · City Recorder



EXHIBIT A

Project Scope



PIONEER ROAD WIDENING AND IMPROVEMENT PROJECT - PHASE 2 (CORRIDOR PRESERVATION)

Applicant Information:

Marriott-Slaterville City 1570 West 400 North Marriott-Slaterville, Utah 84404 801-628-7213

Introduction:

Pioneer Road serves as the main east-west corridor through the City from the I-15 400 North interchange on the east to Plain City and Warren on the west. The Wasatch Front Regional Council envisions the road as a significant transportation corridor in need of alteration to remove dangerous curves.

This project will be the continuation of widening and improving Pioneer Road, work that also included removing dangerous 'S' curves along current alignment. Phase 1 was approved and funded, and has been constructed. Phase 1 was located on the far west end of Pioneer Road. Phase 2 will be constructed in compliance with current AASHTO geometric design standards with a new minimum turn radius of 800-feet and a minimum design speed of 45 mph, similar to the design used for Phase 1.

The large portion of the existing alignment is not currently dedicated as road right-of-way and the City intends to acquire the right-of-way through the existing corridor.

Purpose and Need:

The majority of Pioneer Road is currently a narrow two lane road serving as a main artery through Marriott-Slaterville City. The entire roadway also needs to be widened from two lanes to three including a center turn lane to improve the ability of the road to function as a collector.

Phase 2 is located between 2800 West and 3650 West. Phase 2 will continue to improve the road through realignment of the geometry, which will enlarge the turning radii and increase the design speed. Before starting Phase 1, there were 2 sections along the alignment that were considered dangerous S-curves. A primary reason for the realignment of Pioneer Road is to increase the safety by eliminating these dangerous S-curves. Phase 1 accomplished this by realigning the new roadway and eliminated the tight curve near at the westerly side of the City. Phase 2 also proposes to eliminate the second S-curve at approximately 3500 West.



To accomplish this realignment, and to acquire the needed right-of-way along the remaining corridor, the City is seeking Corridor Preservation funds to continue the acquisition that started with Phase 1.

Project Description:

The location of the proposed work is on Pioneer Road in Marriott-Slaterville between approx. 3200 West and 3600 West. The project will need to acquire right-of-way property for a new corridor to eliminate tight, potentially hazardous curves that do not conform to AASHTO standards. Upon the acquisition of the ROW, the road will be constructed in compliance with current AASHTO geometric design standards with a new minimum turn radius of 800-feet and a minimum design speed of 45 mph. The proposed right-of-way width is 80°. This will allow for a travel lane in each direction, a center turn lane, on-street parking, curb and gutter, and sidewalk on both sides of the road with an 8° trail/sidewalk on one side.

The existing Pioneer Road alignment will remain and tie into the new Pioneer Road alignment. The majority of the existing alignment is not currently dedicated as road right-of-way and the City intends to acquire the right-of-way through the existing corridor. As future development happens in this area, improving the 80' right-of-way (including curb and gutter and sidewalk on both sides) will be pursued.

Timing and Funding:

This section of road provides minimal amount of benefit to the citizens of Marriott-Slaterville City as a whole due to the fact that it is near the west boundary of the city. Those who will benefit the most from this project are citizens of Plain City and unincorporated areas of Weber County, and those citizens that live along the corridor. For these reasons, the city is seeking funding for the acquisition of Right of Way along the proposed corridor. A detailed cost estimate for the land acquisition is attached to this proposal.

A timeline for the project is ongoing, and the continuation will be driven by funding for the work. Once right of way funds are available, the remaining acquisition of the right of way could take up to 1 year to purchase the property. Upon the acquisition of the right of way, the engineering and design can be completed in 6 months. Following engineering and design, it is estimated that construction would take 4 months to complete.



Farr West

Fee sant View (unshaded)

Plain Cley

Marriott-Slaterville

Ogden

Surrivville

Ogden

South Ogden

Healthrefon Terrace

South Rever dale

Rever dale

APPLICATION INFORMATION - Notice: Due Monday, July 10, 2023

(2023) - Program Year 2025/2026

Project Spo	nsor:			Marriott-Slate	rville Cit	-				
Contact Pe	rson:	Bill Morris		<u>Title:</u>		City	Administrator			
Address:		1570 West 400 North, Marrio	tt-Slaterville		ZIP:		84404			
Phone:		801-627-1919		Mobile:			NA			
Email:			bill@mscityut.	.org						
	77	Weber County Co	ouncil of G	Governme	ent Fi	unding .	Applic	ation		TE.
County. To and after property Your signal	he Apporoject ture b your ag	s confirm the commitment of the commitment of the completion. The completion of the	he mainten	ance and	upkee n this	p of the	projection is tr	t during im	plementat rect and	ion
Signature:							Date:			
PROJECT I		MATION	Pioneer Roa	d (Phase 2)(C	orrider P	reservation)			
Project Loc	ation:		Pioneer Road	l, between 190	00 West	to 3925 We	st			
(A loc	cation ma _l	o with aerial view must be attached)								
Facility Le	ngth:	2.6	Jurisdiction	State Owned		Locally Owned	Yes	Multiple (List Other Agencies)		44-11
Descripti	Brief Project Description: This project will be the continuation of widening and improving Pioneer Road, work that also included removing dangerous 'S' curves along Pioneer Road. Phase 1 was approved and funded, and has been constructed. The road will be constructed in compliance with current AASHTO geometric design standards with a new minimum turn radius of 800-feet and a minimum design speed of 45 mph. The majority of the existing alignment is not currently dedicated as road right-of-way and the City intends to acquire the right-of-way through the existing corridor.									
Hav	e any p	ublic information or community	meetings been	held?		TW:	Yes /	<u>No</u>		
/Eva		e public and private support for titions, written endorsements, resoluti								
(EXU	пірісэ. ре	actors, writter engoisements, resoluti	5.13, etc.).							

Project Description								
Does this project add	ress -	New Capac	ity Yes	Yes / No	Conges	tion Mitigation	n No	Yes / No
Project Improvement	Туре	Right Of Way Pre	eservation	Existing Number	er of Lanes	² Propos	sed Number of	Lanes
Project Termini-	Begin:			3925 W	est			
	End:	REPRE		1900 W	est			
Functional Classifi	cation - Link	Colle	ctor	Is the Proje	ct on the RTP	highway or Tr	ansit Network	?
				17.18	Yes	Regional	<u>Fransportation</u>	Plan - Link
Summarize any special (Provide Typical)		cs of this project:	vpical section here.)	:	Yes	Is the Corric	dor on a Munio Master Plan?	
The majority of Pioneer Roa	ad is currently lanes	a narrow two lane road to three including a ce	serving as a main a nter turn lane to imp	artery through Marrid prove the ability of th	ott Slaterville. The road to function	ne entire roadway on as a collector.	also needs to be	widened from two
Describe other project I	mprovemen	ts/ Benefits to be o	completed in con	junction with th	is proposed p	roject:		
The requested funds are cu		31 33 7	he project progresse				sidewalk, storm fa	acilities including a
Describe any project v	work phases	that are currently	underway or hav	ve been complet	ed.			
Phase 1 has been awared fu	unding and cor	npleted (from west bou		id to approx 3925 W we been identified.	/). Some properl	y acquition along	Pioneer Road ha	s been started and
	Elementary	Schools Yes	Transit Stati	ons No		Work	Yes	
Project Provides Access to:	Trails/ Pa	rks Yes	High Schoo	ols Yes		Shopping	No	
(Select All that Apply)	Jr. High Scl	nools Yes	Community Ce	enters Yes		Other:	Destingtions here	
To what extent does the project fill a gap or complete a connection?	Phase 1 of th	is project realigned Pione		dangerous curve and e work to 1900 West.			terested in continui	ng this widening and
Project Proximity to	Elementary	Schools 2.500	Transit Statio	ons 0.000		Work	0.000	
(Existing Distance in Miles):	Trails/ Pa	rks 0.500	High Schoo	ols 2.500		Shopping	0.000	
Enter All that Apply	Jr. High Scl	1.500 4.500	Community Ce	enters 2.000		Other:	Destinations here	
How does the project improve access to an employment center?				NA				
Desired Upgrades to	School Si	gns	Bike Lane Mar	kings No	Pedestrian S	ignals No		
Traffic Control Devices Enter All that Apply	Traffic Sig	nals No	Wayfinding S	igns No	Other: _	_	List other	Troffic Devices here
Discuss what safety improvements are included in this project.			Acquire ROW f	or future widening an	d realignment of	Pioneer Road.	na Var	
Total number of crashes on the or parallel roadway during the three years			Index from UDOT ty Data (2015-2017)		Estimated delay			
Freight Traffic	0 to 5 %	State Facilities AADT Traffic & Truck Traffic Map/ Information	Number of Inter Improvemer (Provide docume	nts? 6 ntation)	Other Project not yet list		ninating the s-curve added sa	e near 3500 West for fety
Once you click the AADT	Link, Select AAL	T Google Map, Downloa	d KMZ, then Open KM.	Z File				

Pipase inentity preservation stategies the illris	diction has in place by ordinanc	e or policy. Note - Each Cell Must be a	knowledged
Land Use Regulation:	Less than Fee Simple Acquistion	Mitigation/ Negotiation	Fee Simple Acquisition
NA Access Management	Yes Options to Purchase	Transferable Development Rights	Hardship
Yes Setback	Purchase of Development Rights	Density Transfers	Donation
Yes Zoning	Property Exchange	Impact Fee Credits	Protective
Yes Site-Plan Review & Subdivision Controls	Other	Tax Abatements	Early
	other	Other	Other
Yes Conditional Use/ Interim Use Permits		L	
Yes Dedications & Exactions	Click Here to A	ccess the WC 2050 Vision Map	(for reference purpose)
Describe existing right of way ownerships alor			
(Describe when the right-of-way was obtained	d and how ownership is documented,	i.e., plats, deeds, prescriptions, ease	ments):
Of the required ROW need, the City only ow ight-of-way acquisition proposed as part of the large ected fund source, limitations on fund use or availa it-of-way)	er project? (if Yes, describe propose	d acquisition including	res Yes/ No/ NA
Marriott Slaterville will retain of the control of	ownership of the aquired ROW in the fo		
Project)) = (Percent of Corridor Preserved)	a) divided by the (Total Amount of It	gnt-or-vvay necessary for the	50 to 75 %
Project)) = (Percent of Corridor Preserved) pulation Percentage Change the estimate - based to be 25.00% If there in the	Land Use Effectiveness is a proposal or plan to change zoning e project location what would be the potential project cost increase?	Anticipated Hardsh	
Project)) = (Percent of Corridor Preserved) pulation Percentage Change 25 00%	Land Use Effectiveness is a proposal or plan to change zoning e project location what would be the		ips Yes
Project)) = (Percent of Corridor Preserved) pulation Percentage Change atta estimate - based to be ril 1, 2010 to July 1, 2019) Link to City Population Data ote - Enter zip code, then select city from the drop down list	Land Use Effectiveness is a proposal or plan to change zoning e project location what would be the potential project cost increase? Percent Increase No 100 % plus No 40 to 59 %	Anticipated Hardsh	yes 25 to 50 %
Project)) = (Percent of Corridor Preserved) pulation Percentage Change ata estimate - based to be ril 1, 2010 to July 1, 2019) Link to City Population Data ote - Enter zip code, then select city from the drop down list - Click the Select a Fact down arrow Select Populaton, percent change - April 1 2010 (estimates base) to July 1, 2019, (V2019)	Land Use Effectiveness is a proposal or plan to change zoning to project location what would be the potential project cost increase? Percent Increase No 100 % plus No 40 to 59 % No 80 to 99 % No 20 to 39 %	Anticipated Hardsh Percent of Raw Las Anticipated maintenance property(s) acquire	yes 25 to 50 % costs for d. of cost)
Project)) = (Percent of Corridor Preserved) pulation Percentage Change ata estimate - based to be ril 1, 2010 to July 1, 2019) Link to City Population Data ote - Enter zip code, then select city from the drop down list - Click the Select a Fact - down arrow Select Population, percent change - April 1 2010 (estimates base) to July 1, 2019, (V2019) (3rd item on the list under Population) Population - Census, April 1, 2010 Population - Census, April 1, 2020	Land Use Effectiveness is a proposal or plan to change zoning e project location what would be the potential project cost increase? Percent Increase No 100 % plus No 40 to 59 % No 80 to 99 % No 20 to 39 % No 60 to 79 % No 0 to 19 %	Anticipated Hardsh Percent of Raw Las Anticipated maintenance property(s) acquire (should not exceed 5 % of	yes 25 to 50 % costs for d. of cost)
Project)) = (Percent of Corridor Preserved) pulation Percentage Change ata estimate - based to be rif 1, 2010 to July 1, 2019) Link to City Population Data ate - Enter zip code, then select city from the drop down list - Click the Select a Fact - down arrow Select Populaton, percent change - April 1 2010 (estimates base) to July 1, 2019, (V2019) (3rd item on the list under Population) Population - Census, April 1, 2010 Population - Census, April 1, 2020 Anticipated year of Project	Land Use Effectiveness is a proposal or plan to change zoning the project location what would be the potential project cost increase? Percent Increase No 100 % plus No 40 to 59 % No 80 to 99 % No 20 to 39 % No 60 to 79 % No 0 to 19 % 1,701 2,141	Anticipated Hardsh Percent of Raw Lat Anticipated maintenance property(s) acquire (should not exceed 5 % of Explain why maintenance cost Time Period for Right-of-Way Acquisition	rips Yes 25 to 50 % costs for d. of cost) will be more than 5% Less than 1 year
Project)) = (Percent of Corridor Preserved) pulation Percentage Change ata estimate - based to be rif 1, 2010 to July 1, 2019) Link to City Population Data ate - Enter zip code, then select city from the drop down list - Click the Select a Fact - down arrow Select Populaton, percent change - April 1 2010 (estimates base) to July 1, 2019, (V2019) (3rd item on the list under Population) Population - Census, April 1, 2010 Population - Census, April 1, 2020 Anticipated year of Project	Land Use Effectiveness is a proposal or plan to change zoning e project location what would be the potential project cost increase? Percent Increase No 100 % plus No 40 to 59 % No 80 to 99 % No 20 to 39 % No 60 to 79 % No 0 to 19 % 1,701 2,141 to 5 years	Anticipated Hardsh Percent of Raw Lan Anticipated maintenance property(s) acquire (should not exceed 5 % of explain why maintenance cost Time Period for Right-of-Way Acquisition Projected 7000	ips Yes 25 to 50 % costs for d. of cost) will be more than 5% Less than 1 year Existing & Projected volumes. Data default - Highlights the 2050 Forecas - Identify and select the roadway on the mainthe Lower left hand corner of the page shor graph (AADT, Historic and Forecast)
Project)) = (Percent of Corridor Preserved) pulation Percentage Change ata estimate - based to be ril 1, 2010 to July 1, 2019) Link to City Population Data See - Enter zip code, then select city from the drop down list - Click the Select a Fact down arrow Select Population, percent change - April 1 2010 (estimates base) to July 1, 2019, (V2019) (3rd item on the list under Population) Population - Census, April 1, 2010 Population - Census, April 1, 2020 Anticipated year of Project Construction Annual Average Daily Traffic - Link	Land Use Effectiveness is a proposal or plan to change zoning e project location what would be the potential project cost increase? Percent Increase No 100% plus No 40 to 59% No 80 to 99% No 20 to 39% No 60 to 79% No 0 to 19% 1,701 2,141 to 5 years Existing	Anticipated Hardsh Percent of Raw Lat Anticipated maintenance property(s) acquire (should not exceed 5 % of the second	ips Yes 25 to 50 % costs for d. of cost) Will be mare than 5% Less than 1 year Existing & Projected volumes Data default - Highlights the 2050 Forecast Identify and select the roadway on the may In the Lower left hand corner of the page show

ect phases included in funding request:	
Planning Activities	
Project Development & Environment Study	
Right of Way (ROW)	
Preliminary Engineering/ Final Design Plans	
Construction	
Construction Engineering & Inspection	
Other:	
	Project Development & Environment Study Right of Way (ROW) Preliminary Engineering/ Final Design Plans Construction Construction Engineering & Inspection

Project Funding Request Summary/ Contributions:

\$	2,64	2,189.90	Sum of Total Project Cos (Calculated Below)	t 		
\$ 264,219.00			Sum of Matching Contributions Funds/ Inkind/ Other)	(Local		
\$	264,219.00	Local Fun	dS (10% min match req between the 3)	/ards latch		
\$		In-Kind D	onations (Dollar Value)	Ellgible Towards Minimum Match		
\$		Other Fur	nding Contributions	Ellgib Minir		
\$	2,377,970.90	Weber Co	Corridor Funding Request			
\$	Weber Sales Tax Funding Request					
** Match	ning Funds Improve a	Project's	Potential Recommendation			

Project Cost Summary (In Addition - A detailed project cost estimate must be attached to this application.)

Planning Activities	\$ 0	(enter estimate)
Project Development & Environment Study	\$ 0	(enter estimate)
Preliminary Engineering/ Final Design Plans	\$ 0	(enter estimate)
Right of Way	\$ 2,642,190	(enter estimate)
Construction	\$ 0	(enter estimate)
Maintenance of Traffic (MOT)	\$ 0	(enter estimate)
<u>Mobilization</u>	\$ 0	(enter estimate)
<u>Subtotal</u>	\$ 2,642,189.90	
<u>Utilities</u>	\$ 0	(enter estimate)
Miscellaneous	\$ 0	(enter estimate)
Total Construction Cost (TCC)	\$ 2,642,189.90	
Contingency (15 % of Total Construction Cost)	\$	1.
Construction Engineering & Inspection (CEI) (13% of (TCC))	\$	
Other (Describe)	\$ 0	(enter estimate)
Total Project Cost	\$ 2,642,189.90	

Project Notes	



MARRIOTT-SLATERVILLE CITY CORPORATION

PROJECT - Pioneer Road Phase 2 ROW Acquisition



Project Location: Marriott-Slaterville, Pioneer Road

Date: July 10, 2023



Item	ALC: N	Tax ID/Property Owner	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Total Amount
		~Right of Way Acquisition~				
		~80' ROW~				
1	15-008-0058	Darren and Jennifer Colman	0.06	acres	\$80,000.00	\$5,024.79
2	15-008-0037	Vern and Vickie Butler	0.15	acres	\$80,000.00	\$12,176.3
3	15-008-0019	Arek and Tamsyn Higgs	0.03	acres	\$80,000.00	\$2,710.74
4	15-008-0016	Douglas J Allen	0.04	acres	\$80,000.00	\$3,052.34
5	15-008-0039	Grant W and Margaret M Butler	0.00	acres	\$80,000.00	\$240.59
6	15-008-0040	Kelly S and Wendie Aiken	0.01	acres	\$80,000.00	\$462.81
7	15-008-0026	Larry J and Diana L Thorsted	0.13	acres	\$80,000.00	\$10,249.77
8	15-008-0040	Kelly S and Wendie Aiken	0.14	acres	\$80,000.00	\$11,131.3
9	15-008-0042	Lewis S and Shauna L Jensen	0.30	acres	\$80,000.00	\$24,319.56
10	15-223-0003	Orvel and Susan P Turnbow	0.01	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,101.93
11	15-223-0002	Don and David Lee Byington	0.01	acres	\$80,000.00	\$986.23
12	15-007-0014	Mike Apezteguia	0.89	acres	\$80,000.00	\$71,337.01
13	15-008-0046	Westside Investments	0.61	acres	\$80,000.00	\$48,640.96
14	15-008-0015	CWSID	0.18	acres	\$80,000.00	\$14,776.80
15	15-008-0010	CWSID	0.20	acres	\$80,000.00	\$16,145.09
16	15-008-0014	CWSID	0.14	acres	\$80,000.00	\$11,355.3
17	15-011-0023	Dale R and Marie R Seegmiller	0.04	acres	\$80,000.00	\$2,863.18
18	15-011-0063	Wildwood Holdings LLC	0.42	acres	\$80,000.00	\$33,285.58
19	15-011-0064	Matronardi Family Trust	0.68	acres	\$80,000.00	\$54,009.18
20	15-011-0004	A Lomont Fowers	0.07	acres	\$80,000.00	\$5,377.4
21	15-011-0004	A Lomont Fowers	0.01	acres	\$80,000.00	\$918.2
22	15-008-0049	Sidney and Hope Robinson	0.01	acres	\$80,000.00	\$596.88
23	15-029-0057	Grant W and Margaret M Butler	0.38	acres	\$80,000.00	\$30,743.80
24	15-412-0001	Darren and Jennifer Colman	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,987.14
25	15-008-0022	Curtis and Kristina Enz	0.12	acres	\$80,000.00	\$9,864.10
26	15-008-0013	Lewis S and Shauna L Jensen	0.29	acres	\$80,000.00	\$23,052.34
27	15-007-0016	Utah Power and Light Co	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,886.13
28	15-029-0007	Utah Power and Light Co	0.03	acres	\$80,000.00	\$2,130.39
29	15-029-0079	Nicole M Broeder	0.03	acres	\$80,000.00	\$2,225.90
30	15-029-0102	Eileen Redmond	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,955.92
31	15-483-0002	Julio Cesar Membreno	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,794.3
32	15-483-0001	Josephine Davidson	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,707.99
33	15-029-0010	Everardo Rodriguez	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,994.49
34	15-029-0126	Amanda and Magan Tyson	0.10	acres	\$80,000.00	\$8,181.82
35	15-029-0011	Mark C Nourse	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,724.52
36	15-029-0165	Lindee M Bess	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,922.87
37	15-029-0167	Kenneth and Marjorie Pronschinske	0.00	acres	\$80,000.00	\$104.6
38	15-029-0108	Pioneer Equestrian LC	0.00	acres	\$80,000.00	\$121.2
39	15-029-0125	Mary Lynn Happenny	0.01	acres	\$80,000.00	\$754.82
40	15-029-0005	Kathleen G Bauer	0.00	acres	\$80,000.00	\$334.2
41	15-029-0003	CWSID	0.01	acres	\$80,000.00	\$870.52
42	15-029-0003	Dennis D Humphreys	0.04	acres	\$80,000.00	\$3,107.44
43	15-029-0061	Cristina Sierra and Raul G Angel	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,926.54
43	15-029-0061	Dana Shelton	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,924.70
44 45		CWSID	0.64	acres	\$80,000.00	\$50,980.72
	15-030-0163 15-029-0064	Brent C and Angela S Butler	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,337.01
46 47		CWSID	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$5,722.68
47	15-029-0020	CAASID	0.07	aci C3	200,000.00	ΨΟ,, ΣΣ.Ο

JONES AND ASSOCIATES CONSULTING

ENGINEERS

Item		Tax ID/Property Owner	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Total Amount
48	15-029-0019	Slater Properties LLC	0.00	acres	\$80,000.00	\$369.15
49	15-030-0182	Ryan Frank and Derrick A Yoshida	0.01	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,098.26
50	15-030-0058	Allred Family	0.04	acres	\$80,000.00	\$3,054.18
51	15-030-0083	Leavitt Family Trust	22.42	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,793,919.19
52	15-030-0108	Leavitt Family Trust				
53	15-030-0096	Ian B. Cunningham	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,904.50
54	15-030-0098	Ethan and Kallie Brown	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,926.54
55	15-030-0082	Douglas E and Patricia L Eggleston	0.03	acres	\$80,000.00	\$2,370.98
56	15-030-0085	Sandra Wheeler Saunders	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,935.72
57	15-030-0176	Dorla M and Steve L Morgan	0.00	acres	\$80,000.00	\$187.33
58	15-030-0175	Dorla M and Steve L Morgan	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,928.37
59	15-030-0055	SF Properties	0.35	acres	\$80,000.00	\$28,235.08
60	15-030-0142	Christine Arnell and Elaine Woods	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,285.58
61	15-030-0086	Kelly Worth Knight Revocable Trust	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,278.24
62	15-030-0074	John Donald & Luana P. Slater	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,259.87
63	15-030-0010	John Donald & Luana Slater	0.05	acres	\$80,000.00	\$3,864.10
64	15-030-0054	Marilyn Draper Revocable Trust	0.01	acres	\$80,000.00	\$453.63
65	15-167-0001	Marilyn Draper Trust	0.03	acres	\$80,000.00	\$2,494.03
66	15-167-0002	Nathan Grow	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,919.19
67	15-030-0156	Lori Bell	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,928.37
68	15-030-0165	Blain Robert Draper Trust	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,660.24
69	15-030-0009	Bens Farm LIC	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,932.05
70	15-167-0003	Dennis W. & Elaine A. Draper	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,924.70
71	15-030-0179	Michael & Karen Stevenson Trust	0.13	acres	\$80,000.00	\$10,449.95
72	15-030-0185	Virgil C Howe Trust	0.10	acres	\$80,000.00	\$7,656.57
73	15-030-0178	John P. & Tenille Houghton Pilarczyk	0.11	acres	\$80,000.00	\$8,595.04
74	15-030-0051	Cody & Teresa Ostler	0.12	acres	\$80,000.00	\$9,551.88
75	15-030-0044	John J. Brown	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,797.98
76	15-030-0045	Arthur J. Jr & Deborah J. Gibby Trust	0.05	acres	\$80,000.00	\$3,651.06
77	15-030-0046	Leon Wardell Revocable Living Trust	0.05	acres	\$80,000.00	\$3,904.50
78	15-030-0111	Jeff & Karen Wayment	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,922.87
79	15-030-0113	Shawn G. & Anegelique D. Bushnell	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,974.29
80	15-030-0173	Maverik, Inc	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,957.76
81	15-030-0037	Holley Family Holdings, LLC	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,581.27
82	15-030-0036	Holley Family Holdings, LLC	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,595.96
83	15-030-0087	Holley Family Holdings, LLC	0.02	acres	\$80,000.00	\$1,303.95
					erall Subtotal =	\$2,401,990.82 \$240,199.08
	10%Surveying & Engineering =					
					TOTAL =	\$2,642,189.90